

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MICHAEL M. GRANT

DIRECT DIAL: (602) 530-8291

E-MAIL: MMG@GKNET.COM

RECEIVED

7017 MAY 25 P 4: 37

2575 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-9225 PHONE: (602) 530-8000 FAX: (602) 530-8500 WWW.GKNET.COM

DAT COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

May 25, 2012

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 25 2012

**DOCKETED BY** 

HAND DELIVERED

**Docket Control** Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:

The Arizona Investment Council ("AIC") Testimony in Support of Settlement

Agreement; Docket No. T-01051B-11-0378

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed are the original and 13 copies of the testimony of Gary Yaquinto on behalf of the AIC in support of the Settlement Agreement filed in this docket on May 17, 2012. Your assistance is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.

By:

Michael M. Grant

MMG/plp 18762-10/3050137

**Enclosures** 

cc w/enclosure: Service List

Original and 13 copies filed with Docket Control this 25<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2012.

24

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2	COMMISSIONERS
3	GARY PIERCE, Chairman BOB STUMP
4	SANDRA D. KENNEDY
5	PAUL NEWMAN BRENDA BURNS
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF Docket No. T-01051B-11-0378
7	QWEST CORPORATION D/B/A CENTURYLINK- QC ("CENTURYLINK") TO CLASSIFY AND
8	REGULATE RETAIL LOCAL EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AS
9	COMPETITIVE, AND TO CLASSIFY AND DEREGULATE CERTAIN SERVICES AS NON-
10	ESSENTIAL.
11	
12	
13	Testimony in Support of Settlement Agreement
14	by Gary M. Yaquinto
15	
16	on Behalf of
17	Arizona Investment Council
18	
19	
20	
21	May 25, 2012
22	
ll ll	

1	1.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name, position and business address.
3	A.	Gary M. Yaquinto. I am President of the Arizona Investment Council ("AIC"). Our
4		offices are located at 2100 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.
5		
6	Q.	Have you filed testimony previously in this case?
7	A.	Yes. I filed direct testimony on March 16, 2012 and rebuttal testimony on April 23,
8		2012.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of this testimony?
11	A.	My testimony is offered to explain AIC's support for the Proposed Settlement Agreement
12		filed by Staff on May 17, 2012.
13	:	
14	2.	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
15	Q.	Is AIC a signatory to the Settlement Agreement?
16	A.	Yes. In addition to filing direct and rebuttal testimony, AIC participated in the
17		discussions which led to the Settlement Agreement. I signed the Settlement Agreement
18		on behalf of AIC.
19		
20	Q.	Please describe the process which led to the Settlement Agreement.
21	A.	The company notified parties of the date, time and place for discussions and made
22		arrangements for parties to participate via telephone if they were unable to attend
23		meetings in person. Although the parties failed to reach a settlement agreement prior to

18762-10/3050010

commencement of the evidentiary hearing, while the hearing was in recess, a second round of settlement discussions took place and Staff, CenturyLink, RUCO and AIC reached a global settlement.

All meetings convened to discuss the application and to negotiate settlement were transparent and open to all intervenors.

## Q. Generally, why does AIC support the Settlement Agreement?

A. The Settlement Agreement provides benefits to the Company as well as its customers by placing CenturyLink on a streamlined regulatory footing similar to (but not fully consistent with) that occupied by its regulated competitors like Cox Communications and other Competitive Local Exchange Carriers ("CLECs"). It establishes a path to certainty for CenturyLink to achieve pricing flexibility and parity in a highly competitive market and reduces unnecessary regulatory overhead. As I discussed in my direct testimony, the move toward regulatory parity will better position CenturyLink to meet its capital investment commitments to the Commission, reduce investor confusion over differing regulatory practices for competitors and improve its ability to compete.

Q. Mr. Yaquinto, do telecommunications developments also support approval of the Settlement Agreement?

A. Yes. It has been 17 years since the Commission passed its Competitive

Telecommunications Rules which enabled other providers to offer basic
telecommunications services to business and residential customers that were before then

Certificates of Convenience and Necessity to dozens of CLECs and CenturyLink's share of the market has declined dramatically. Further, advancements in technologies like wireless and Voice-Over-Internet-Protocol have made unregulated communications options available to customers. Today, about 30 percent of Arizona telephone users have completely "cut the cord" and have only wireless service. Texting and e-mails are now substitutes for traditional voice communications. Between 2001 and 2010, CenturyLink's access lines declined by more than 50 percent, while the State's population increased by almost 25 percent over the same time period.

AIC believes it is well past time for CenturyLink to be unburdened by the regulatory practices that were crafted for a monopolistic industry 100 years ago. While AIC believes the parties' settlement should have gone further, the Settlement Agreement does recognize the competitive nature of CenturyLink's basic services and provides a pathway for modernizing regulation of CenturyLink in Arizona.

## 3. CONCLUSION

- Q. Do you have a recommendation for the Commission?
- A. Yes. AIC urges Commission approval of the Settlement Agreement.

- Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.